

## Regular Meeting – February 16, 2010

### Board Members

Mike Hayes  
Craig Johnston  
Tony Lucas  
Jim Matchett  
Gary Peterson

### Visitors

Judy LaCrosse

### Staff / Consultants

Sue Byrnes – District Staff  
Diana Miller – District Manager  
Scott Monroe – Semocor, Inc.

### 1.0 Call To Order

The meeting was called to order at 16:30.

### 2.0 New Business and Open Items

- 2.1 Meeting Minutes – A motion was made and seconded; (RESOLUTION 10-010) TO APPROVE THE MINUTES OF THE JANUARY 19, 2010 REGULAR BOARD MEETING OF THE PERRY PARK WATER AND SANITATION DISTRICT AS AMENDED. The motion passed unanimously.

Director Hayes suggested that on page two in the paragraph beginning with “Director Lucas requested the status”, the second sentence should begin with “The District Manager” as opposed to “She”.

- 2.2 Water/Sewer Permits – One (1) new permit had already been approved:

Permit #	Builder/Owner	Property Address
1405	SunPointe Homes	8270 Bannock Drive

One (1) permit has been issued year to date.

- 2.3 Disbursements – A motion was made and seconded; (RESOLUTION 10-011) TO APPROVE CHECKS 19941 THRU 19958 IN THE AMOUNT OF \$65,732.74 WHICH WERE PREVIOUSLY DISBURSED FROM WELLS FARGO BANK WEST. The motion passed unanimously.

A motion was made and seconded; (RESOLUTION 10-012) TO APPROVE CHECKS 19959 THRU 19975 IN THE AMOUNT OF \$49,338.85 TO BE DISBURSED FROM WELLS FARGO BANK WEST. The motion passed unanimously.

Director Peterson requested clarification on check 19973 issued to Tienken & Hill, LLP, specifically, items Plum Creek Statement of Opposition, Castle Pines Statement of Opposition and Plum Creek Farms Review Resume. The District Manager advised that the Plum Creek Farms Review Resume and the Plum Creek Statement of Opposition were the same case. She added that the District and Semocor, Inc. had done substantial review prior to concluding that a Statement of Opposition should be filed in the Plum Creek case. She indicated that both could negatively impact the District's renewable water supply.

A motion was made and seconded; (RESOLUTION 10-013) TO RATIFY CONSENT AGENDA ITEMS DATED JANUARY 22, 2010 IN THE AMOUNT OF \$4,956.71 WHICH WERE PREVIOUSLY DISBURSED FROM WELLS FARGO BANK WEST. The motion passed unanimously.

A motion was made and seconded; (RESOLUTION 10-014) TO RATIFY CONSENT AGENDA ITEMS DATED FEBRUARY 5, 2010 IN THE AMOUNT OF \$4,956.71 WHICH WERE PREVIOUSLY DISBURSED FROM WELLS FARGO BANK WEST. The motion passed unanimously.

2.4 Resolution Calling for the 2010 Regular Election – A motion was made and seconded; (RESOLUTION 10-015) TO CALL FOR THE 2010 REGULAR ELECTION. The motion passed unanimously. The complete text of the resolution is attached to these meeting minutes.

2.5 Approve or Reject Scope of Work for Pleasant Park Ditch Change of Water Rights submitted by Spronk Water Engineers, Inc. – The Board reviewed the revised Scope of Work and Cost Estimate for Pleasant Park Ditch Change of Water Rights submitted by Spronk Water Engineers, Inc. The District Manager advised the Board that Director Hayes had reviewed changes to the Terms and Conditions and felt that they were sufficient to allow the District to enter into the agreement. A motion was made and seconded; (RESOLUTION 10-016) TO APPROVE THE SCOPE OF WORK FOR THE PLEASANT PARK DITCH CHANGE OF WATER RIGHTS AND TO AUTHORIZE THE DISTRICT MANAGER TO EXECUTE THE CONTRACT. The motion passed unanimously.

### **3.0 Staff and Consultant Reports**

3.1 Perry Park Water and Sanitation District Systems Report – The members of the Board reviewed the monthly operations report which had been submitted by Semocor, Inc. Mr. Monroe highlighted that the water usage was much higher this year than during the same period in the previous year due to two major flushing events associated with potassium permanganate dosages.

3.2 Monthly Staff Report – The members of the Board reviewed the Monthly Staff Report which had been submitted by the District Manager.

The members of the Board reviewed the monthly Project Status Report which had been submitted by the District Manager.

Director Lucas requested the status of the East Plum Creek Water Well Easements. The District Manager advised the Board that she has not received a response from the Town of Larkspur, but that she sees the Town Clerk/Manager regularly and he has promised a response to the District when time permits.

The Board reviewed the Feasibility/Impact Study for Acoma Drive. Director Peterson noted the number of Feasibility/Impact Studies that would be expiring on February 18, 2010. After discussion, a motion was made and seconded; (RESOLUTION 10-017) TO ACCEPT THE ACOMA DRIVE FEASIBILITY/IMPACT STUDY WITH THE REQUIREMENTS IDENTIFIED BY THE DISTRICT'S ENGINEER. The motion passed unanimously.

The Board reviewed 2008 and 2009 graphical representations of the District's financial information. Director Peterson requested that the District Manager and Mr. Monroe develop a cost per one thousand gallons of water handled to further refine the District's financial analysis.

The Board discussed Proposition 101, Amendment 60 and Amendment 61 all of which could be harmful to Special District's in Colorado.

#### **4.0 Old Business/Immediate Issues**

There was none.

#### **5.0 Audience Participation**

There was none.

**6.0 Adjournment** - A motion was made and seconded; (RESOLUTION 10-018): TO ADJOURN THE REGULAR MEETING. Passed unanimously. The meeting adjourned at 18:00.

ATTEST:

---

Secretary